

MAINES, IAN AUSTIN
 MAINES, LINDSEY
 68 DAVIS WILLIAMS ROAD
 GRAY ME 04039

 B36877P264

Previous Owner
 PETTINELLI-BROWN, MARLA L
 68 DAVIS WILLIAMS RD

 GRAY ME 04039
 Sale Date: 7/01/2020

Previous Owner
 LAUGHLIN, WALTER J
 LAUGHLIN, CYNTHIA G
 68 DAVIS WILLIAMS RD
 GRAY ME 04039
 Sale Date: 9/26/2018

Inspection Witnessed By:		
X		Date
No./Date	Description	Date Insp.

Notes:
5/24 DR FIELD REVIEW

Gray

Property Data		
Neighborhood	82 Average Location	
Tree Growth Year	0	
REVIEW	0	
Building Permit	0	
Zone/Land Use	11 Rural Residential & Agri	
Secondary Zone		
Topography	2 Rolling	
1. Well	4. Below St	7.
2. Rolling	5. Low	8.
3. Above St	6. Swampy	9.
Utilities	4. Filled Well/Point	6 Septic System
1. Public	4. Drilled Well/Po	7. Cesspool
2. Water	5. Dug Well	8. Lake
3. Holding Ta	6. Septic	9. None
Street	1 Paved	
1. Paved	4. Proposed	8.
2. Semi Imp	5. R/O/W	9.
3. Gravel	6.	9. None
GIS Map	46	
Field Review	1	
Sale Data		
Sale Date	7/01/2020	
Price	385,000	
Sale Type	2 Land & Buildings	
1. Land	4. Mobile	7. COM
2. L & B	5. Other	8.
3. Building	6. Condo	9.
Financing	1 Conventional	
1. Convent	4. Seller	7.
2. FHA/VA	5. Private	8.
3. Assumed	6. Cash	9. Unknown
Validity	1 Arms Length Sale	
1. Valid	4. Split	7. Multiple
2. Related	5. Partial	8. Other
3. Distress	6. Exempt	9. Estate
Verified	5 Public Record	
1. Buyer	4. Agent	7. Family
2. Seller	5. Pub Rec	8. Other
3. Lender	6. MLS	9.

Assessment Record				
Year	Land	Buildings	Exempt	Total
2011	49,740	174,623	0	224,363
2012	49,740	174,623	0	224,363
2013	49,740	174,623	0	224,363
2014	49,740	174,623	8,500	215,863
2015	49,700	174,600	9,000	215,300
2016	49,700	174,600	9,000	215,300
2017	49,700	174,600	13,500	210,800
2018	49,700	174,600	18,000	206,300
2019	70,800	238,800	20,000	289,600
2020	70,800	238,800	0	309,600
2021	70,800	238,800	0	309,600
2022	70,800	248,800	0	319,600
2023	70,800	269,500	25,000	315,300
Calc.	142,400	463,600	25,000	581,000

Land Data					
Type	Effective		Influence		Influence Codes
	Frontage	Depth	Factor	Code	
1. Water (0-200)			%		1. Unimproved
2. Water (201-400)			%		2. Excess Frtg
3. Water (401-800)			%		3. Topography
4. Water (801-160)			%		4. Size/Shape
5. Water (1601-32)			%		5. Access
			%		6. Restriction
			%		7. Open Space
			%		8. Environmental
			%		9. Condo
Square Foot		Square Feet		Acres	
16. Water (3201-6400)			%		30. Blueberry(1-20
17. Water SF Amen			%		31. Blueberry(21 -
18. Water View			%		32. Crop Land
19. Water Influen			%		33. Pasture
20. ShoreFront A			%		34. Shorefront B
			%		35. Shorefront C
			%		36. ANTENNA SITE
21. Base Lot	1.84	100	%	0	37. Softwood TG
22. Base Lot Vacan	2.90	100	%	0	38. Mixed Wood TG
23. Base Lot Unpav			%		39. Hardwood TG
			%		40. Wasteland
			%		41. Woodland
			%		42. Mobile Home Si
			%		43. Camp Site
			%		44. Lot Improvemen
			%		45. BA SF - Oce
			%		46. SP Meadow Cond
Total Acreage		4.74			

Gray

Map Lot 046-044-012-006


Account 2757


Location 68 DAVIS WILLIAMS RD

Card 1

Of 1

8/05/2024

Building Style 2 Ranch	SF Bsmt Living 1600	Layout 1 Typical
1.Conv. 5.Garrison 9.NE farm	Fin Bsmt Grade 3 110	1.Typical 4. 7.
2.Ranch 6.Split 10.Colonia	Secondary Heat 2	2.Inadeq 5. 8.
3.R Ranch/ 7.Contemp 11.Cottage	Heat Type 100% 1 Hot Water BB	3. 6. 9.
4.Cape 8.Log 12.Gambrel	1.HWBB 5.FWA 9.None	Attic 9 None
Dwelling Units 1	2.HWCI 6.Monitor 10.UNH2F	1.1/4 Fin 4.Full Fin 7.
Other Units 0	3.HWRF 7.Electric 11.Geother	2.1/2 Fin 5.F/Stair 8.
Stories 1 One Story	4.Steam 8.F/Wall 12.Heat/Co	3.3/4 Fin 6. 9.None
1.1 4.1.5 7.	Cool Type 0% 9 None	Insulation 1 Full
2.2 5.1.75 8.	1.Central 4.W&C Air 7.	1.Full 4.Minimal 7.
3.3 6.2.5 9.	2.Evapor 5. 8.	2.Heavy 5. 8.
Exterior Walls 2 Vinyl/Aluminum	3.H Pump 6. 9.None	3.Capped 6. 9.None
1.Clapboar 5.Stucco 9.B & B	Kitchen Style 2 Typical	Unfinished % 0%
2.Vin/Al 6.Brick 10.Cemplan	1.Modern 4.Obsolete	Grade & Factor 3 Average 120%
3.Compos./ 7.Stone 11.Concret	2.Typical 5. 8.	1.E Grade 4.B Grade 7.SC Grade
4.Asbestos 8.Wood Shi 12.Wood Bo	3.Old Type 6. 9.None	2.C Grade 5.A Grade 8.
Roof Surface 1 Asphalt Shingles	Bath(s) Style 2 Typical Bath(s)	3.C Grade 6.AA Grade 9.Same
1.Asphalt 4.Composit 7.Other	1.Modern 4.Obsolete 7.	SQFT (Footprint) 1820
2.Slate 5.Wood 8.	2.Typical 5. 8.	Condition 5 Above Average
3.Metal 6.Roll Roo 9.	3.Old Type 6. 9.None	1.Poor Avg 7.V G
SF Masonry Trim 0	# Rooms 8	2.Fair Avg 8.Exc
SOLAR VOLTAIC 0	# Bedrooms 3	3.Avg- Good 9.Same
OPEN-4- 0	# Full Baths 2	Phys. % Good 0%
Year Built 2005	# Half Baths 2	Funct. % Good 100%
Year Remodeled 0	# Addn Fixtures 1	Functional Code 9 None
Foundation 1 Concrete	# Fireplaces 0	1.Incomp 4.Delap 5.Layoff
1.Concrete 4.Wood 7.		2.O-Built 5.Bsmt 6.Long term
2.C Block 5.Slab 8.		3.Damage 6.Style None
3.Br/Stone 6.Piers 9.		Econ. % Good 100%
Basement 4 Full Basement		Economic Code None
1.1/4 Bmt 4.Full Bmt 7.		0.None 3.No Power 6.Obsolete
2.1/2 Bmt 5.Crwl 8.		1.Location 4.Generate 9.None
3.3/4 Bmt 6. 9.None		2.Encroach 5.Flood Pl 9.
Bsmt Gar # Cars 0		Entrance Code 5 Estimated
Wet Basement 1 Dry Basement		1.Interior 4.Vacant 7.
1.Dry 4. 7.		2.Refusal 5.Estimate 8.
2.Damp 5. 8.	3.Informed 6. 9.	
3.Wet 6. 9.	Information Code 5 Estimate	
	1.Owner 4.Agent 7.	
	2.Relative 5.Estimate 8.	
	3.Tenant 6.Other 9.	



Date Inspected 5/10/2024

Additions, Outbuildings & Improvements

Type	Year	Units	Grade	Cond	Phys.	Funct.	Sound Value	
21 Open Frame	0	200	0 0	0	0	% 100 %		1.One Story Fram
68 Wood Deck	0	288	0 0	0	0	% 100 %		2.Two Story Fram
21 Open Frame	0	64	0 0	0	0	% 100 %		3.Three Story Fr
23 Frame Garage	0	712	0 0	0	0	% 100 %		4.1 & 1/2 Story
						% %		5.1 & 3/4 Story
						% %		6.2 & 1/2 Story
						% %		21.Open Frame Por
						% %		22.Encl Frame Por
						% %		23.Frame Garage
						% %		24.Frame Shed
						% %		25.Frame Bay Wind
						% %		26.1SFr Overhang
						% %		27.Unfin Basement
						% %		28.Unfinished Att
						% %		29.Finished Attic