

HEBERT, STEPHANI
301 SHAKER ROAD
GRAY ME 04039

B33047P171

Previous Owner
KIBLER, GARY G
88 SHAKER RD

GRAY ME 04039
Sale Date: 4/19/2016

Previous Owner
WILBUR, DAVID E
14 KINDRED MEADOW RD

GRAY ME 04039
Sale Date: 11/17/2009

Previous Owner
WILBUR, BERNICE C
%DAVID WILBUR
14 KINDRED MEADOW RD
GRAY ME 04039
Sale Date: 10/16/2009

Inspection Witnessed By:

X	Date	Date Insp.
No./Date	Description	Date Insp.

Notes:
5/24- DR FIELD REVIEW

Gray

Property Data		
Neighborhood	81 Fair-Traffic	
Tree Growth Year	0	
REVIEW	0	
Building Permit	0	
Zone/Land Use	12 Limited Residential	
Secondary Zone	23 Lake District	
Topography	1 Level	
1. Soil	4. Below St	7.
2. Rolling	5. Low	8.
3. Above St	6. Swampy	9.
Utilities	2 Public Water 6 Septic System	
1. Public	4. Dr. Well/Po	7. Cesspool
2. Water	5. Dug Well	8. Lake
3. Holding Ta	6. Septic	9. None
Street	1 Paved	
1. Paved	4. Proposed	8.
2. Semi Imp	5. R/O/W	9.
3. Gravel	6.	9. None
GIS Map	13	
Field Review	1	
Sale Data		
Sale Date	4/19/2016	
Price	149,000	
Sale Type	2 Land & Buildings	
1. Land	4. Mobile	7. COM
2. L & B	5. Other	8.
3. Building	6. Condo	9.
Financing	9 Unknown	
1. Convent	4. Seller	7.
2. FHA/VA	5. Private	8.
3. Assumed	6. Cash	9. Unknown
Validity	1 Arms Length Sale	
1. Valid	4. Split	7. Multiple
2. Related	5. Partial	8. Other
3. Distress	6. Exempt	9. Estate
Verified	5 Public Record	
1. Buyer	4. Agent	7. Family
2. Seller	5. Pub Rec	8. Other
3. Lender	6. MLS	9.

Assessment Record				
Year	Land	Buildings	Exempt	Total
2011	31,500	49,861	0	81,361
2012	31,500	49,861	0	81,361
2013	31,500	49,861	0	81,361
2014	31,500	49,861	0	81,361
2015	31,500	49,900	0	81,400
2016	31,500	49,900	0	81,400
2017	31,500	49,900	0	81,400
2018	31,500	49,900	0	81,400
2019	61,000	96,700	0	157,700
2020	61,000	96,700	0	157,700
2021	61,000	96,700	25,000	132,700
2022	61,000	96,700	25,000	132,700
2023	61,000	112,900	25,000	148,900
Calc.	154,300	178,100	25,000	307,400

Land Data					
Type	Effective		Influence		Influence Codes
	Frontage	Depth	Factor	Code	
1. Water (0-200)			%		1. Unimproved
2. Water (201-400)			%		2. Excess Frtg
3. Water (401-800)			%		3. Topography
4. Water (801-160)			%		4. Size/Shape
5. Water (1601-32)			%		5. Access
			%		6. Restriction
			%		7. Open Space
			%		8. Environmental
			%		9. Condo
Square Foot		Square Feet		Acres	
16. Water (3201-			%		30. Blueberry(1-20
17. Water SF Amen			%		31. Blueberry(21 -
18. Water View			%		32. Crop Land
19. Water Influen			%		33. Pasture
20. ShoreFront A			%		34. Shorefront B
			%		35. Shorefront C
			%		36. ANTENNA SITE
21. Base Lot	0.25	100	%	0	37. Softwood TG
22. Base Lot Vacan	1.00	100	%	0	38. Mixed Wood TG
23. Base Lot Unpav			%		39. Hardwood TG
			%		40. Wasteland
			%		41. Woodland
			%		42. Mobile Home Si
			%		43. Camp Site
			%		44. Lot Improvemen
			%		45. BA SF - Oce
			%		46. SP Meadow Cond
Total Acreage		0.25			

Gray

Map Lot 013-102-033-000

Account 2979

Location 301 SHAKER RD

Card 1 Of 1


8/05/2024

Building Style 4 Cape Cod	SF Bsmt Living 0	Layout 1 Typical
1.Conv. 5.Garrison 9.NE farm	Fin Bsmt Grade 0 0	1.Typical 4. 7.
2.Ranch 6.Split 10.Colonia	Secondary Heat 0	2.Inadeq 5. 8.
3.R Ranch/ 7.Contemp 11.Cottage	Heat Type 100% 1 Hot Water BB	3. 6. 9.
4.Cape 8.Log 12.Gambrel	1.HWBB 5.FWA 9.None	Attic 9 None
Dwelling Units 1	2.HWCI 6.Monitor 10.UNH2F	1.1/4 Fin 4.Full Fin 7.
Other Units 0	3.HWRF 7.Electric 11.Geother	2.1/2 Fin 5.FI/Stair 8.
Stories 1 One Story	4.Steam 8.FI/Wall 12.Heat/Co	3.3/4 Fin 6. 9.None
1.1 4.1.5 7.	Cool Type 0% 9 None	Insulation 1 Full
2.2 5.1.75 8.	1.Central 4.W&C Air 7.	1.Full 4.Minimal 7.
3.3 6.2.5 9.	2.Evapor 5. 8.	2.Heavy 5. 8.
Exterior Walls 2 Vinyl/Aluminum	3.H Pump 6. 9.None	3.Capped 6. 9.None
1.Clapboard 5.Stucco 9.B & B	Kitchen Style 2 Typical	Unfinished % 0%
2.Vin/Al 6.Brick 10.Cemplan	1.Modern 4.Obsolete	Grade & Factor 3 Average 110%
3.Compos./ 7.Stone 11.Concret	2.Typical 5. 8.	1.E Grade 4.B Grade 7.SC Grade
4.Asbestos 8.Wood Shi 12.Wood Bo	3.Old Type 6. 9.None	2.D Grade 5.A Grade 8.
Roof Surface 1 Asphalt Shingles	Bath(s) Style 2 Typical Bath(s)	3.C Grade 6.AA Grade 9.Same
1.Asphalt 4.Composit 7.Other	1.Modern 4.Obsolete 7.	SQFT (Footprint) 902
2.Slate 5.Wood 8.	2.Typical 5. 8.	Condition 5 Above Average
3.Metal 6.Roll Roo 9.	3.Old Type 6. 9.None	1.Poor Avg 7.V G
SF Masonry Trim 0	# Rooms 5	2.Fair Avg 8.Exc
SOLAR VOLTAIC 0	# Bedrooms 2	3.Avg- Good 9.Same
OPEN-4- 0	# Full Baths 1	Phys. % Good 0%
Year Built 1940	# Half Baths 0	Funct. % Good 100%
Year Remodeled 0	# Addn Fixtures 0	Functional Code 9 None
Foundation 2 Concrete Block	# Fireplaces 0	1.Incomp 4.Delap 9.Delay
1.Concrete 4.Wood 7.		2.O-Built 5.Bsmt 8.Long term
2.C Block 5.Slab 8.		3.Damage 6.Style 9.None
3.Br/Stone 6.Piers 9.		Econ. % Good 100%
Basement 3 3/4 Basement		Economic Code None
1.1/4 Bmt 4.Full Bmt 7.		0.None 3.No Power 6.Obsolete
2.1/2 Bmt 5.Crwl 8.		1.Location 4.Generate 9.None
3.3/4 Bmt 6. 9.None		2.Encroach 5.Flood Pl 9.
Bsmt Gar # Cars 0		Entrance Code 5 Estimated
Wet Basement 1 Dry Basement		1.Interior 4.Vacant 7.
1.Dry 4. 7.		2.Refusal 5.Estimate 8.
2.Damp 5. 8.		3.Informed 6. 9.
3.Wet 6. 9.		Information Code 5 Estimate
		1.Owner 4.Agent 7.
		2.Relative 5.Estimate 8.
		3.Tenant 6.Other 9.

Date Inspected 5/16/2024

Additions, Outbuildings & Improvements

Type	Year	Units	Grade	Cond	Phys.	Funct.	Sound Value
23 Frame Garage	1970	240	2 100	4	0 %	100 %	
					%	%	1.One Story Fram
					%	%	2.Two Story Fram
					%	%	3.Three Story Fr
					%	%	4.1 & 1/2 Story
					%	%	5.1 & 3/4 Story
					%	%	6.2 & 1/2 Story
					%	%	21.Open Frame Por
					%	%	22.Encl Frame Por
					%	%	23.Frame Garage
					%	%	24.Frame Shed
					%	%	25.Frame Bay Wind
					%	%	26.1SFr Overhang
					%	%	27.Unfin Basement
					%	%	28.Unfinished Att
					%	%	29.Finished Attic



Proposed

Value